COR2ED THE HEART OF MEDICAL EDUCATION

NTRK GENE FUSIONS AND TRK INHIBITORS

NOVEMBER 2019

NTRK, neurotrophic tyrosine receptor kinase

TRK, tropomyosin-receptor kinase

STEERING COMMITTEE



These slides summarise the BluePrint document '*NTRK* Gene Fusions and TRK Inhibitors' dated November 2019

<u>https://ntrkconnect.info/</u>

The BluePrint has been developed under the guidance of a Steering Committee:

- **Prof Andrea Sartore-Bianchi** (Medical Oncologist at Ospedale Niguarda and University of Milano, Italy)
- **Prof Fernando Lopez-Rios** (Molecular and Surgical Pathologist at Hospital Universitario HM Sanchinarro, Spain)
- Assoc Prof Gerald Prager (Medical Oncologist, Medical University of Vienna, Austria)
- Dr Jaclyn Hechtman (Molecular and Surgical Pathologist at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, USA)

FUNDING



Supported by an Independent Educational Grant from Bayer. The content is not influenced by Bayer and under the sole responsibility of the Steering Committee

KEY CLINICAL POINTS



- NTRK (Neurotrophic Tyrosine Receptor Kinase) gene fusions are targetable genetic alterations that drive tumourigenesis
- NTRK gene fusions can occur either at a high frequency in some rare cancers (high-prevalence tumours) or at a low frequency in more common cancer types (low-prevalence tumours)
- Tumour-agnostic treatment is a genetically informed treatment strategy that enriches for novel targets regardless of tumour histology
- TRK inhibitors are a form of tumour-agnostic treatment
 - Two TRK inhibitors are currently approved for use in clinical practice (larotrectinib and entrectinib)
 - Other TRK inhibitors are in clinical development
- A testing algorithm for NTRK gene fusions has been proposed to identify patients who would benefit from therapies targeting TRK fusion proteins
- Once a patient has been identified as having a NTRK gene fusion, treatment with an approved TRK inhibitor is recommended

TABLE OF CONTENT



Gene fusions

- Introduction
- Role as oncogenic drivers
- Tumour-agnostic treatment
- Methods to detect gene fusions

NTRK family and TRK inhibitor therapy

- Introduction
- Physiological role
- *NTRK* gene fusions: chimeric oncoproteins
- *NTRK* gene fusions: tumours according to frequency of detection
- Recommended testing algorithm of *NTRK* gene fusions
- Tumour-agnostic treatment, TRK inhibitors:
 - Approved products: clinical and safety profile
 - Acquired resistance mechanism
 - Products in clinical development

Appendix

- High-prevalence and low-prevalence tumours
- References
- Glossary
- Abbreviations

GENE FUSIONS

GENE FUSIONS: INTRODUCTION





 Gene fusions are 2 or more genes that form chimeric genes involving parts of each gene

They can form as a result of chromosomal rearrangements or abnormal transcription*

GENE FUSIONS: ONCOGENIC DRIVERS

Gene fusions:

- Constitute strong driver alterations in the initial steps of tumour development
- May play important roles in tumour progression
- Recently, gene fusions have emerged as oncogenic drivers in some solid tumours, including the NTRK family, the FGFR family, ROS1, and BRAF
- Used as diagnostic and prognostic markers to confirm diagnosis and monitor response to molecular therapies

BRAF, v-Raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog B; CNS, central nervous system; FGFR, fibroblast growth factor receptor; GBM, glioblastoma multiforme; NTRK, neurotrophic tyrosine receptor kinase; ROS1, ROS proto-oncogene 1

GENE FUSIONS: PRECISION MEDICINE

- Recurrent gene fusions are **present across multiple cancer types**
- Therefore a type of targeted therapy named Tumour-agnostic therapy was designed
 - To treat cancer based on the cancer's genetic and molecular features, regardless
 of the cancer type or location
 - To use the same drug to treat all cancer types that have the genetic mutation or biomarker targeted by the drug
- Clinical investigation of such drug is conducted through basket design trials: patients with tumours harbouring a particular genomic alteration are treated with a matched therapeutic regardless of tumour histology

GENE FUSIONS: TUMOUR-AGNOSTIC THERAPY VS TRADITIONAL CANCER THERAPY



| | Tumour-agnostic treatment | Traditional cancer therapies |
|-----------------------|--|---|
| Basis of approval | Biomarker present across many tumour types Biomarkers of response, establishing the effects of context, and deciphering mechanisms of treatment resistance across a variety of tumour types | Consider heterogeneity of drug effects in different biomarker- positive tumour types Can be directed toward a specific genomic abnormality in a specific tumour type |
| Patient population | Small patient cohorts with diverse tumour types and a common genomic alteration | Large patient cohorts with tumours originating from a single anatomic site Tumours that commonly have heterogeneous genomic alterations |

GENE FUSIONS: DETECTION METHODS



- Testing for gene fusions allows for the identification of patients who may benefit from gene-specific inhibitor therapy
- Target enrichment strategies: allows for the rapid screening of a large patient population to identify a small percentage who have gene fusions
- Techniques used include DNA-based NGS, RNA-based NGS, RT-PCR, FISH, and IHC
- Each technique detects the gene fusions either at the DNA, RNA, or protein level
- Over 22,091 gene fusions in cancer have been found and indexed in the Mitelman Database of Chromosome Aberrations and Gene Fusions in cancer¹



FISH, fluorescence *in situ* hybridisation; IHC, immunohistochemistry; NGS, next-generation sequencing; RT-PCR, reverse-transcriptase PCR

¹last update 15 October 2019; https://mitelmandatabase.isb-cgc.org/about

GENE FUSIONS: DETECTION METHODS DNA LEVEL



| Detection methods | Use | Advantages | Disadvantages |
|----------------------|--|--|---|
| DNA- based NGS | Detects known and novel fusions with breakpoints in DNA | Assesses molecular information such as mutations, copy number changes, and microsatellite instability status with high resolution Detects rearrangements in multiple genes and introns Can identify translocation partners without direct targeting of these partners | High cost per sample compared with other methods Long turnaround time of approximately 1 to 3 weeks Potentially lower sensitivity due to difficulty covering long introns that often harbour breakpoints DNA-based platforms must tile over introns, requiring more probes, more space on the sequencer, and more starting material Lack of confirmation at the RNA transcription or protein expression level May not work well if specimen quality, quantity, or tumour purity is poor The amount of data produced places substantial demands on bioinformatics and information technologies |
| FISH | Detects gene rearrangements in DNA that may generate a fusion transcript | Relatively quick Does not require much tumour tissue or high tumour content for a single test Usually high specificity and sensitivity for DNA level rearrangements within a single given gene Can be performed on many types of biopsy specimens including formalin- fixed paraffin-embedded tissue sections | Multiple tests are required as most commercial labs perform single gene, break-apart FISH DNA level rearrangements are not confirmed to be transcribed (RNA) or expressed (protein) fusions (e.g. may detect 'passenger' alterations) Requires that the target gene is known |

GENE FUSIONS: DETECTION METHODS RNA LEVEL



| Detection methods | Use | Advantages | Disadvantages |
|------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| RNA-based NGS | Detects known and novel fusions with breakpoints in RNA | Screens multiple genes for fusions Confirms the presence of transcription Allows large expansion (multiplexing) of the number of targets and throughput of diagnostic testing Meets or exceeds the sensitivity of other methods (i.e. FISH) for detecting gene fusions Allows detection of novel partners Requires no prior knowledge of fusion partners or of intron/exon breakpoints | High cost per sample compared with other methods; however, targeted sequencing of a subset of genes or transcripts can reduce costs} Turnaround time of approximately 1 to 3 weeks Labile nature of RNA: RNA quality in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue may be too poor for clinical testing, especially in older blocks The amount of data produced places substantial demands on bioinformatics and information technologies |
| Anchored Multiplex PCR (AMP) | Detects known and novel fusions | Can detect partner genes in fusions even when the partner gene is not targeted by the panel Targets RNA Has demonstrated diagnostic utility for detection of gene fusions | Does not detect other genomic events Cost and turnaround time are higher and longer than FISH, IHC, or RT-PCR Uses RNA, which is sometimes not of sufficient quality |
| RT-PCR | Detects known fusion transcripts in RNA | High sensitivity and specificity for a known fusion transcript Relatively fast Low cost | RT-PCR uses RNA, which may not always be of sufficient quality due to its labile nature There is limited multiplexing ability as RT-PCR can only look at detect a few targets, whereas NGS can look at hundreds of targets at the same time |
| Nanostring | Detects the expression of known gene fusions | There is no need to run multiple individual FISH or RT-PCR tests for single genes or single fusion variants Has high specificity Provides rapid results Provides easier data analysis compared with NGS | Requirement for more input nucleic acids than some other methods Inability to detect novel gene fusions variants |

14

GENE FUSIONS: DETECTION METHODS PROTEIN LEVEL AND OTHER METHODS



15

| Detection methods | Use | Advantages | Disadvantages |
|----------------------|---|---|---|
| IHC | Useful in detecting fusion protein | Useful for screening as it is sensitive Low cost Can be used even in low-prevalence tumours Fast turnaround time | Confirmation of fusion by a second assay is recommended, particularly when cytoplasmic staining is present without nuclear, peri-nuclear, or membranous staining Similarly, confirmation of fusion by a second assay is recommended if there is a possibility of smooth muscle or neurologic differentiation |
| Liquid biopsy | Sampling and analysis of non-solid biological tissue (primarly blood) | Minimally invasive technique Used to detect a range of gene fusions (ALK, RET, ROS1 and NTRK1 gene fusions) from plasma, serum, circulating tumour cells, platelets and exosomes Can be performed over time during treatment and therefore can be used more easily than tissue biopsy for detecting molecular alterations arising during tumour evolution and those driving acquired resistance | Are not as sensitive as IHC or FISH for testing gene fusions If circulating DNA and RNA volume is low (e.g. when the tumour volume is low), a test failure or false negative may result Not available for routine clinical use as further development is required |

ALK, Anaplastic lymphoma kinase; FISH, fluorescence in situ hybridization; IHC, immunohistochemistry; NGS, next-generation sequencing; RET, rearranged during transfection; ROS1, ROS1, ROS proto-oncogene 1; RT-PCR, reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction.

NTRK FAMILY

NTRK FAMILY: 3 GENES



- NTRK1, NTRK2 and NTRK3 (Neurotrophic Tyrosine Receptor Kinase)
- Encoding for transmembrane Tropomyosin Receptor Kinase: TRKA, TRKB, and TRKC



BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor; NGF, nerve growth factor; NT-3, neurotrophin 3; NT-4/5, neurotrophin 4/5; P, autophosporylation; TK, tyrosine kinase domain

TRK FAMILY: PHYSIOLOGICAL ROLE





BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; NGF, nerve growth factor; NT-3, neurotrophin 3; NT-4/5, neurotrophin 4/5; P, autophosporylation; PI3K, Phosphoinositide 3-kinase; PKC, protein kinase C; TK, tyrosine kinase domain

NTRK GENE FUSIONS: CHIMERIC ONCOPROTEINS



NTRK gene fusions are targetable genetic alterations that code for fusion proteins and drive tumourigenesis

MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; PI3K, Phosphoinositide 3-kinase; PKC, protein kinase C; TK, tyrosine kinase domain

Chen Y. and Chi P. J Hematol Oncol. 2018;11(1):78; Cocco E. et al. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2018;15(12):731-747; Stransky N. et al. Nat Commun. 2014;5:4846; Vaishnavi A. et al. Cancer Discov. 2015;5(1):25-34

NTRK GENE FUSIONS STRUCTURE



Characteristics of all identified NTRK gene fusions:

- 1. Created by chromosomal rearrangement
- Fusion of the 3' region of the NTRK gene with the 5' region of a different gene (= the fusion partner)
- 3. The tyrosine kinase (TK) domain is always present
- 4. The transmembrane domain is not present in all



MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; PI3K, Phosphoinositide 3-kinase; PKC, protein kinase C; TK, tyrosine kinase domain

Chen Y. and Chi P. J Hematol Oncol. 2018;11(1):78; Cocco E. et al. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2018;15(12):731-747; Stransky N. et al. Nat Commun. 2014;5:4846; Vaishnavi A. et al. Cancer Discov. 2015;5(1):25-34

NTRK GENE FUSIONS: TUMOURS ACCORDING TO FREQUENCY OF DETECTION



NTRK gene fusions can occur either at a high frequency in some rare cancers (highprevalence tumours) or at a low frequency in more common cancer types (lowprevalence tumours)^a

High-prevalence tumours

 Frequency of NTRK gene fusions >90%

Cancers enriched for TRK

fusions

Frequency >90%

- MASC
- Secretory breast carcinoma^b
- Cellular and mixed congenital Infantile fibrosarcoma^d

Low-prevalence tumours

- Frequency 5% to 25%
- Frequency <5%



Cancers harbouring TRK fusions at lower frequencies

Frequency 5% to 25%

- Gastrointestinal stromal tumour (pan-negative)
- Thyroid cancer^c
- Spítzoid tumours

Frequency <5%

- Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia, acute myeloid leukaemia, histiocytosis, multiple myeloma and dendritic cell neoplasms
- Sarcomad
- Breast cancer
- Colorectal cancer
- Cholangiocarcinoma
- High-grade glioma^b
- Head and neck cancer
- Lung cancer
- Pancreatic cancer
- Melanoma
- Renal cell carcinom^a
- Sarcoma

^aFound in adult cancers only, unless indicated; ^bfound in adult and paediatric cases; ^cfound in adult cases as thyroid cancer and papillary thyroid cancer in paediatric cases; ^dfound in paediatric cases only.

MASC, mammary analogue secretory carcinoma. See appendix section for more details about NTRK gene frequency and involvement in each tumour Amatu A. et al. ESMO Open. 2016;1(2):e000023; Cocco E. et al. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2018;15(12):731-747; Stransky N. et al. Nat Commun. 2014;5:4846;

NTRK GENE FUSIONS: RECOMMENDED TESTING ALGORITHM^a



- In high-prevalence tumours: best options as confirmatory techniques are FISH, RT-PCR or RNA-based targeted panels
- In low-prevalence tumours: ideal option is a DNA- or RNA-based NGS targeted panel that reliably detects NTRK gene fusions



^aBased on ESMO 2019 guidelines for NTRK fusion detection and guidelines for TRK fusion cancer in children by Albert et al. 2019; ^bUsing specific probes for the rearrangement involving the known NTRK gene; ^cAlbert et al., note that RT-PCR is not routinely used in clinical practice and limited data are available using this technique for NTRK fusion detection; ^dESMO guidelines note that this population would be likely represented by "any malignancy at an advanced stage, in particular if it has been proven wild type for other known genetic alterations tested in routine practice, and especially if diagnosed in young patients".

FISH, fluorescence *in situ* hybridization; IHC, immunohistochemistry; NGS, next-generation sequencing; RT-PCR, reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction.; Albert C.M. et al. J Clin Oncol. 2019;37(6):513-524; Marchiò C. et al. Ann Oncol 2019 [Epub ahead of print]; Mateo J. et al. Ann Oncol. 2018;29(9):1895-1902

TRK INHIBITOR THERAPY

TRK INHIBITORS: APPROVED PRODUCTS



24

| Drug (company) | Larotrectinib (Bayer) | Entrectinib (Roche / Genentech) |
|---------------------------|--|---|
| Approved US indication | For the treatment of adult and pediatric patients with solid tumors that: have a neurotrophic receptor tyrosine kinase (<i>NTRK</i>) gene fusion without a known acquired resistance mutation, are metastatic or where surgical resection is likely to result in severe morbidity, and have no satisfactory alternative treatments or that have progressed following treatment. | For the treatment of: 1. Adult patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) whose tumours are ROS1-positive. 2. Adult and pediatric patients 12 years of age and older with solid tumours that: have a neurotrophic tyrosine receptor kinase (<i>NTRK</i>) gene fusion without a known acquired resistance mutation, are metastatic or where surgical resection is likely to result in severe morbidity, and have progressed following treatment or have no satisfactory alternative therapy. |
| Formulation | Capsules: 25mg or 100mgOral solution: 20mg/ml | Capsules: 100mg or 200mg |
| Mechanism of Action | Selective and potent inhibitor of TRKA, TRKB and TRKC | Activity against ALK, ROS1, and TRKA, TRKB, and TRKC proteins |
| Status | US FDA accelerated approval: 26 November 2018 Approval in Brazil: 9 July 2019 Canada NOC/c: 16 July 2019 EU conditional approval: 19 September 2019 | Japan MHLW approval: 18 June 2019 US FDA approval: 15 August 2019 |

ALK, anaplastic lymphoma kinase; NOC/c, Notice of compliance with conditions; FDA; Food and Drug Administration; MHLW, Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare; ROS1, ROS proto-oncogene 1

TRK INHIBITORS: APPROVED PRODUCTS -CLINICAL EFFICACY RESULTS



- The efficacy of larotrectinib: evaluated in paediatric and adult patients with unresectable or metastatic solid tumours with a NTRK gene fusion enrolled in one of three multicenter, openlabel, single-arm clinical trials: Study LOXO-TRK-14001 (NCT02122913), SCOUT (NCT02637687), and NAVIGATE (NCT02576431). (Cut off date July 2017 for the NDA approval)
- The efficacy of entrectinib: evaluated in adult patients with unresectable or metastatic solid tumours with a *NTRK* gene fusion enrolled in one of three multicenter, single-arm, open-label clinical trials: ALKA, STARTRK-1 (NCT02097810) and STARTRK-2 (NCT02568267)

| Efficacy Parameters | Larotrectinib (N=55) ¹ | Entrectinib (N=54) ¹ |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Overall response rate (95% CI) | 75% (61%, 85%) | 57% (43, 71) |
| Complete response rate | 22% | 7.4% |
| Partial response rate | 53% | 50% |
| Observed duration of response ² | Larotrectinib (N=41) ¹ | Entrectinib (N=31) ¹ |
| Range (months) | 1.6+, 33.2+ | 2.8, 26.0+ |
| % with duration \geq 6 months | 73% | 68% |
| % with duration ≥ 9 months | 63% | 61% |
| % with duration \geq 12 months | 39% | 45% |

¹ Disclaimer: Efficacy data presentation come from the US FDA approved labels, differences in trial design do not allow for direct comparison of efficacy data (larotrectinib vs entrectinib)

² For larotrectinib: Median duration of response not reached at time of data cutoff

CI, confidence intervals; NDA; New drug application; Efficacy data sources: US label for larotrectinib (date: 26 November 2018) and entrectinib (date: 15 August 2019)

TRK INHIBITORS: APPROVED PRODUCTS -CLINICAL SAFETY DATA



• The safety profile of larotrectinib:

- The most common adverse reactions (≥ 20%) were fatigue, nausea, dizziness, vomiting, anaemia, increased AST, cough, increased ALT, constipation, and diarrhoea
- The most common serious adverse reactions (≥ 2%) were pyrexia, diarrhoea, sepsis, abdominal pain, dehydration, cellulitis, and vomiting
- Grade 3 or 4 adverse reactions occurred in 51% of patients

• The safety profile of entrectinib:

- The most common adverse reactions (≥ 20%) were fatigue, constipation, dysgeusia, oedema, dizziness, diarrhoea, nausea, dysesthesia, dyspnoea, myalgia, cognitive impairment, increased weight, cough, vomiting, pyrexia, arthralgia and vision disorders
- The most frequent serious adverse reactions (≥ 2%) were pneumonia, dyspnoea,
 pleural effusion, sepsis, pulmonary embolism, respiratory failure, and pyrexia
- Grade 3 or 4 adverse reactions occurred in 60% of patients

ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate transaminase

Safety profile sources: US label for larotrectinib (date: 26 November 2018) and entrectinib (date: 15 August 2019)

TRK INHIBITORS: APPROVED PRODUCTS -ACQUIRED RESISTANCE MECHANISM



- Resistance to TRK inhibition by larotrectinib and entrectinib is mediated by recurrent mutations to the kinase domain of NTRK gene at three different locations (solvent-front mutations, gatekeeper and xDFG):
- The kinase solvent-front mutation is mediated through G595R substitution in the TRKA protein and G623R substitution in the TRKC protein
- The gatekeeper mutation is found at F589L substitution in the TRKA
- The xDFG motif mutation is found at G667C substitution in the TRKA protein and G696A substitution in the TRKC protein

TRK INHIBITORS: IN CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT



 Objective: "Next-generation" TRK inhibitors are being developed to overcome acquired resistance to first-generation TRK protein kinase inhibitors

| Drug (company) | Objective | МоА | Development stage (Clinicaltrials.gov identifier) |
|---|--|---|--|
| Selitrectinib (formerly known as LOXO-195)* (Bayer) | To overcome resistance mediated by solvent- front mutations, and xDFG substitutions | Selectively targets NTRK 1/2/3 gene fusions | Phase 1/2 trial (NCT03215511) |
| Repotrectinib** (Turning Point Therapeutics) | To overcome resistance due to solvent-front substitutions | High selectivity for wild-type and mutated TRKA, TRKB, TRKC, ROS1, and ALK proteins | Phase 1/2 trial (NCT03093116) |

*BAY 2731954; ** TPX-0005

ALK, anaplastic lymphoma kinase; DFG motif, Asp-Phe-Gly motif; ROS1, ROS proto-oncogene 1

TRK INHIBITORS: IN CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT



- Other TRK inhibitors are under clinical investigation.
- These are either multikinase inhibitors with anti-TRK activity or drugs that are specifically TRK-directed and include:
 - cabozantinib, merestinib, sitravatinib, ONO-7579, PLX-7486, altiratinib and DS-6051b.

APPENDIX

NTRK GENE FUSIONS: TUMOURS GROUPED COR2ED THE HEART OF MEDICAL EDUCATION COR2ED THE HEART OF MEDICAL EDUCATION

| High-prevalence tumours : Frequency of NTRK gene fusions >90% | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------|--|
| Tumour Type | NTRK gene fusions involved | Frequency | |
| Breast secretory carcinoma | NTRK3 | 96% | |
| Infantile fibrosarcoma | NTRK3 | 95.5% | |
| MASC ~90% | NTRK3 | 89.1% | |
| Congenital mesoblastic nephroma | NTRK3 | 72.0% | |

NTRK GENE FUSIONS: TUMOURS GROUPED COR2ED ACCORDING TO FREQUENCY OF DETECTION

| Low-prevalence tumours: Frequency 5% to 25% or Frequency < 5% | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------|--|
| Tumour Type | NTRK gene fusions involved | Frequency | |
| Spitz tumours and spitzoid melanoma | NTRK1 | 16.4% | |
| Papillary thyroid carcinoma | NTRK1,3 | 8.8% | |
| Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma | NTRK1 | 3.6% | |
| Astrocytoma | NTRK2 | 3.1% | |
| High-grade glioma | NTRK1,2,3 | 2.1% | |
| Uterine sarcoma | NTRK1,3 | 2.1% | |
| Gastrointestinal stromal tumours | NTRK3 | 1.9% | |
| Lung cancer | NTRK1,2 | 1.7% | |
| Thyroid carcinoma | NTRK1,3 | 1.2% | |
| Glioblastoma | NTRK1,2 | 1.2% | |
| Sarcoma | NTRK1 | 1.0% | |
| Ph-like Acute lymphoblastic leukemia | NTRK3 | 0.7% | |
| Colorectal cancer | NTRK1,3 | 0.61% | |
| Melanoma | NTRK3 | 0.3% | |
| Head and neck cancer | NTRK2,3 | 0.24% | |
| Invasive breast cancer | NTRK3 | <0.1% | |

REFERENCES



1. Cocco E, Scaltriti M, Drilon A. NTRK fusion-positive cancers and TRK inhibitor therapy. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2018; 15(12): 731-747.

2. Stransky N, Cerami E, Schalm S et al. The landscape of kinase fusions in cancer. Nat Commun 2014; 5: 4846.

- 3. Chen Y, Chi P. Basket trial of TRK inhibitors demonstrates efficacy in TRK fusion-positive cancers. J Hematol Oncol 2018; 11: 78. <
- 4. Amatu A, Sartore-Bianchi A, Siena S. NTRK gene fusions as novel targets of cancer therapy across multiple tumour types. ESMO Open 2016; 1: e000023?
- 5. Vaishnavi A, Le AT, Doebele RC. TRKing down an old oncogene in a new era of targeted therapy. Cancer Discov 2015; 5: 25-34.
- 6. Mateo J, Chakravarty D, Dienstmann R et al. A framework to rank genomic alterations as targets for cancer precision medicine: the ESMO Scale for Clinical Actionability of molecular Targets (ESCAT). Ann Oncol 2018; 29: 1895-1902.
- 7. Albert CM, Davis JL, Federman N et al. TRK Fusion Cancers in Children: A Clinical Review and Recommendations for Screening. J Clin Oncol 2019; 37: 513-524,
- 8. Marchiò C, Scaltriti M, Ladanyi M et al. ESMO recommendations on the standard methods to detect *NTRK* fusions in daily practice and clinical research. Ann Oncol 2019; 2019 Jul 3. pii: mdz204. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdz204. [Epub ahead of print].
- 9. Luoh SW and Flaherty KT. When tissue is no longer the issue: Tissue-agnostic cancer therapy comes of age. Ann Intern Med. 2018; 169(4):233-239
- 10. Offin M, Liu D, Drilon A. Tumor-Agnostic Drug Development. Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book 2018; 38: 184-187.
- 11. Loxo Oncology. FDA Approves Vitrakvi[®] (larotrectinib), the First Ever TRK Inhibitor, for Patients with Advanced Solid Tumors Harboring an NTRK Gene Fusion. 2018.

REFERENCES



- 12. US prescribing information of larotrectinib, initial approval (revision: November 2018): https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2018/210861s000lbl.pdf
- 13. http://portal.anvisa.gov.br/informacoes-tecnicas13?p_p_id=101_INSTANCE_WvKKx2fhdjM2&p_p_col_id=column-2&p_p_col_pos=1&p_p_col_count=2&_101_INSTANCE_WvKKx2fhdjM2_groupId=219201&_101_INSTANCE_WvKKx2fhdjM2_urlTitle=vitrakvi-larotrectinibe-e-vitrakvi-tm-larotrectinibe-novoregistro&_101_INSTANCE_WvKKx2fhdjM2_struts_action=%2Fasset_publisher%2Fview_ content&_101_INSTANCE_WvKKx2fhdjM2_assetEntryId=5555812&_101_INSTANCE_WvKKx2fhdjM2_type=content
- 14. https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/ drug-products/notice-compliance/conditions/vitrakvi-notice-compliance-conditions-qualifying-notice.html
- 15. EU conditional approval of Vitrakvi[®] (larotrectinib), dated 19 September 2019 (https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/human/EPAR/vitrakvi#authorisation-details-section)

16. Roche. Media release, dated 18 June. 2019. https://www.roche.com/media/releases/med-cor-2019-06-18.htm (accessed 24 June 2019).

17. Food & Drug Administration. 2019. https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/fda-approves-entrectinib-ntrk-solid-tumors-and-ros-1-nsclc (accessed 20 August 2019).

18. US prescribing information of entrectinib, initial approval (revision: August 2019): https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/212725s000lbl.pdf

GLOSSARY



| Term | Definition | | |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| FISH | Also known as fluorescence <i>in situ</i> hybridisation, it detects gene rearrangements in DNA that may generate a fusion transcript | | |
| Fusion protein | A protein created by the joining of two or more genes that originally coded for separate proteins | | |
| High-prevalence tumours | Tumours with a high likelihood of <i>NTRK</i> gene rearrangements. These include tumours with certain histologies or specific molecular subgroups, such as secretory carcinoma, infantile fibrosarcoma, pan-negative lung adenocarcinoma, wild-type and MSI-high colorectal carcinomas, IDH1 wild-type gliomas, pan-negative GIST and CD34-positive fibrosarcoma of soft tissue and bone. | | |
| ІНС | Also known as immunohistochemistry, it detects protein expression which may be attributable to a fusion event | | |
| Kinase domain | A structurally conserved region of protein kinases that contains the catalytic function of these enzymes | | |
| Low-prevalence tumours | Tumours with a low likelihood of NTRK gene rearrangements. | | |
| NGS | Also known as next-generation sequencing, it detects known and novel fusions with breakpoints in DNA or RNA | | |
| NTRK gene | Gene coding for the neurotrophic tyrosine receptor kinase | | |
| NTRK gene fusions | Targetable driver genetic alterations that code for aberrant fusion proteins and drive tumourigenesis; these are formed by joining of the 3' region of the <i>NTRK</i> gene, including the kinase domain, with the 5' region of a different gene (i.e. the fusion partner) by intra- or inter-chromosomal rearrangement | | |
| RT-PCR | Also known as reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction, it detects known fusion transcripts in RNA | | |
| TRK fusion proteins | The protein product of <i>NTRK</i> gene fusions, these proteins are constitutively active and result in cell growth, proliferation, and survival pathway activation | | |
| TRK inhibitors | A type of tyrosine kinase inhibitor and a type of targeted therapy against TRK fusion proteins | | |
| Tumour-agnostic treatment | A drug treatment that is used to treat any kind of cancer, regardless of where in the body or what tissue it initiated from | | |
| Tyrosine kinase | An enzyme that can transfer a phosphate group from ATP to a protein in a cell, it plays a critical activation and deactivation role in many cellular functions | | |

ABBREVIATIONS



| ALT | Alanine transaminase | MAF |
|--------|--|------|
| ANVISA | Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária | MAS |
| | (Brazilian Health Regulatory Agency | MSI |
| AST | Aspartate transaminase | NDA |
| ATP | Adenosine triphosphate | NGF |
| BDNF | Brain-derived neurotrophic factor | NGS |
| CD34 | Cluster of differentiation 34 | NT-3 |
| CI | Confidence interval | NT-4 |
| DNA | Deoxyribonucleic acid | NTR |
| ESMO | European Society for Medical Oncology | РКС |
| FDA | Food and Drug Administration | PI3K |
| FISH | Fluorescence in situ hybridization | RNA |
| GIST | Gastrointestinal stromal tumour | RT-P |
| IDH1 | Isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 | ТК |
| IHC | Immunohistochemistry | TRK |

| МАРК | Mitogen-activated protein kinase |
|--------|--|
| MASC | Mammary analogue secretory carcinoma |
| MSI | Microsatellite instability |
| NDA | New drug application |
| NGF | Nerve growth factor |
| NGS | Next-generation sequencing |
| NT-3 | Neurotrophin 3 |
| NT-4/5 | neurotrophin 4/5 |
| NTRK | Neurotrophic tyrosine receptor kinase |
| РКС | Protein kinase C |
| РІЗК | Phosphoinositide 3-kinase |
| RNA | Ribonucleic acid |
| RT-PCR | Reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reactio |
| ТК | Tyrosine kinase |
| TRK | Tropomyosin receptor kinase |



COR2ED Bodenackerstrasse 17 4103 Bottmingen SWITZERLAND

Dr. Antoine Lacombe Pharm D, MBA Phone: +41 79 529 42 79 antoine.lacombe@cor2ed.com

Dr. Froukje Sosef MD Phone: +31 6 2324 3636 froukje.sosef@cor2ed.com

