St. Gallen International Breast Cancer Conference 2025 Industry Satellite Symposium



Stemline A Menarini Group Company



MED--ELA-2500029

Current and future treatment strategies for patients with ER+/HER2- early breast cancer

Faculty



Professor Michael Gnant

Medical University of Vienna, Austria

Full Professor of Surgery at the Medical University of Vienna, Austria, where he also serves as President of the Austrian Breast and Colorectal Cancer Study Group. He has been co-chairing and hosting St. Gallen International Consensus Panel for Early Breast Cancer since 2015 and is involved in many scientific societies including ASCO, AACR, ACS, BIG, EORTC, ESSO, EUSOMA, and UICC.



Professor Giuseppe Curigliano

University of Milan, Italy

Director of the Early Drug Development Division and Co-Chair for the Experimental Therapeutics Program at the European Institute of Oncology, a comprehensive cancer center in Milan, Italy. He is a steering committee member of the Department of Oncology and Hemato-Oncology at the University of Milan. Moreover, he is a member of the Italian National Health Council, serving as an adviser to the Ministry of Health for cancer policy issues.

Acknowledgement and disclosures

This symposium has been sponsored by Menarini Stemline and is intended for healthcare professionals only

Expert disclosures

- Prof. Michael Gnant reports personal fees/travel support from Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Daiichi Sankyo, Eli Lilly, EPG Health (IQVIA), Menarini Stemline, MSD, Novartis, Pierre Fabre, and Veracyte
- Prof. Giuseppe Curigliano has received financial support/sponsorship for research support, consultation, or speaker fees from AstraZeneca, BMS, Celcuity, Daiichi Sankyo, Exact Sciences, Menarini, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, Ellipsis, Astellas, Blueprint Medicines, BMS, Kymab, Philogen, Relay Therapeutics and Sanofi

Current and future treatment strategies for patients with ER+/HER2early breast cancer

Time	Title	Presenter
08:00–08:10	Definition of risk of recurrence in ER+/HER2- early breast cancer	Prof. Michael Gnant
08:10–08:35	Treatment landscape and future strategies for ER+/HER2- early breast cancer	Prof. Giuseppe Curigliano
08:35–08:45	Discussion and Q&A	Prof. Michael Gnant





Please keep your cell phone on silent mode



There will be an opportunity to ask the faculty questions during the discussion at the end of the symposium

Definition of risk of recurrence in ER+/HER2- early breast cancer

Prof. Michael Gnant Medical University of Vienna, Austria



ER, estrogen receptor; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2.

Despite treatment advances in breast cancer, recurrence remains a challenge in the early setting

Breast cancer is one of the most diagnosed cancers globally, representing nearly 12% of all new cancer cases, and is a leading cause of cancer-related deaths among women¹ A meta-analysis of published adjuvant ET ± ChT trials in HR+/HER2- eBC demonstrated that early risk of recurrence^a is considerable and accumulates over time²





HR+/HER2- tumors are the most common type of eBC, accounting for >70% of all cases worldwide¹

 $^{a}ET \pm ChT$ control arms (study arms excluded).

ChT, chemotherapy; eBC, early breast cancer; ET, endocrine therapy; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; HR, hormone receptor; y, years.

6 1. Loibl S, et al. Ann Oncol. 2024;35(2):159–182. 2. Curigliano G, et al. ASCO 2024. Abstract 541.

Early breast cancer classification has evolved from a "population" approach to a more "personalized" approach¹



AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; TNM, tumor, node, metastasis; UICC, Union for International Cancer Control.

7 1. Amin MB, et al. CA Cancer J Clin. 2017;67(2):93–99.

IRIDE working group consensus: understanding risk stratification allows for personalized treatment plans and improved outcomes¹

Factor	High risk	Low risk		
Tumor size	T3/4	T1		
Nodal status	N2/N3	NO		
Grade	3	1		
Ki-67	>30%	<20%		
ER/PgR expression level	ER <10% and/or PgR <20%	n/a		
Residual cancer burden	RCB-III	RCB-0		
Genomic signature (Oncotype DX, MammaPrint [®] , EndoPredict [®] PAM50)	High-risk class	Low-risk class		

Intermediate risk is defined as N0^a disease with G1/2 and T2–T3 or G3 and T1c–T3, or as N1 disease with G1 and T2 or G2 and T0–T2²

aln patients aged ≥35 years, N0 G1 tumors are intermediate risk if >3 cm, and N0 G2 if >2 cm, whereas in patients aged <35 years, N0 G1 tumors are intermediate risk if >2 cm, and N0 G2 if >1 cm. ER, estrogen receptor; n/a not available; PgR, progesterone receptor; RCB, residual cancer burden.

8 1. Garutti M, et al. Cancers (Basel). 2022;14(8):1898. 2. Lammers SWM, et al. ESMO Open. 2024;9(5):103008 (including supplementary data).

The risk of distant recurrence is positively correlated with increased tumor size and nodal involvement¹



^aIn N0 disease.

ET, endocrine therapy; N, number of nodes involved; T, tumor size.

9 1. Adapted from: Pan H, et al. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;377(19):1836–1846 (including supplementary data).

The risk of recurrence is positively correlated with increased tumor grade and Ki-67 status^{1,2}



^aMonarchE Kaplan–Meier curve in Cohort 1 Ki-67 high versus Ki-67 low at additional follow-up 1. CI, confidence interval; ET, endocrine therapy; HR, hazard ratio; yr, year rate

10 1. Pan H, et al. N Engl J Med. 2017;377:1835–1846. 2. Harbeck N, et al. Ann Oncol. 2021;32(12):1571–1581.

RWE: risk of recurrence was significantly greater in the high-risk vs non-high-risk group, highlighting the need for better therapies



^aHigh-risk tumors identified using inclusion criteria from the monarchE trial. RWE, real-world evidence.

11 1. Sheffield MK, et al. Future Oncol. 2022;18(21):2667–2682.

Decision-making in the treatment of ER+/HER2- early breast cancer is based on the risk of recurrence¹



a"Low risk" implies low-risk genomic score (preferred) and/or lower-risk features on traditional pathological analysis, including lower-grade histology, robust ER and PgR expression, and lower measures of proliferation. b"High risk" implies high-risk genomic score and/or higher-risk features on traditional pathological analysis, including higher-grade histology, lower ER expression, and higher measures of proliferation. Premenopausal women with lower-risk tumors who are not advised/recommended to receive OFS may benefit more from adjuvant ChT (include patients with low-risk T1cN0, stage II T2–3N0, stage II T1–T2N1 tumors). dStage N1 with primary tumor >5 cm, and/or grade 3 and/or Ki-67 ≥20%. eg*BRCA1/2*-wt or untested. ^fRibociclib has been approved by regulatory agencies in this setting but has not yet been added to the official ESMO living guidelines. gTamoxifen can be given if AIs are not tolerated. ^hIf patient is premenopausal. ⁱ⁷–8 years' treatment duration seems sufficient for most patients at high risk.

AI, aromatase inhibitors; ChT, chemotherapy; eBC, early breast cancer; ER, estrogen receptor; ET, endocrine therapy; gBRCA1/2, germline BRCA1/2; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; OFS, ovarian function suppression; PgR, progesterone receptor; wt, wild type.

2 1. Adapted from: Loibl S, et al. Ann Oncol. 2024;35(2):159–182.

Treatment is intensified in adjuvant settings for tumors with high-risk characteristics¹



a"Low risk" implies low-risk genomic score (preferred) and/or lower-risk features on traditional pathological analysis, including lower-grade histology, robust ER and PgR expression, and lower measures of proliferation. b"High risk" implies high-risk genomic score and/or higher-risk features on traditional pathological analysis, including higher-grade histology, lower ER expression, and higher measures of proliferation. Premenopausal women with lower-risk tumors who are not advised/recommended to receive OFS may benefit more from adjuvant ChT (include patients with low-risk T1cN0, stage II T2–3N0, stage II T1–T2N1 tumors). dStage N1 with primary tumor >5 cm, and/or grade 3 and/or Ki-67 ≥20%. eg*BRCA1/2*-wt or untested. Ribociclib has been approved by regulatory agencies in this setting but has not yet been added to the official ESMO living guidelines. gTamoxifen can be given if AIs are not tolerated. hIf patient is premenopausal. i7–8 years' treatment duration seems sufficient for most patients at high risk.

AI, aromatase inhibitors; ChT, chemotherapy; eBC, early breast cancer; ER, estrogen receptor; ET, endocrine therapy; gBRCA1/2, germline BRCA1/2; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; OFS, ovarian function suppression; PgR, progesterone receptor; wt, wild type.

13 1. Adapted from: Loibl S, et al. Ann Oncol. 2024;35(2):159–182.



Treatment landscape and future strategies for ER+/HER2- early breast cancer

Prof. Giuseppe Curigliano University of Milan, Italy



ER, estrogen receptor; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2

The monarchE trial assessed the addition of abemaciclib to ET for 2 years in patients with node-positive high-risk HR+/HER2- eBC^{1,2}



^aEndocrine therapy (physician's choice) standard-of-care was administered according to package label until discontinuation criteria were met. ^bET continued for 3–8 years as clinically indicated. ^cPer STEEP criteria. ALN, axillary lymph node; BC, breast cancer; BID, twice daily; CDK4/6i, cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor; DRFS, distant relapse-free survival; eBC, early breast cancer; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; ET, endocrine therapy; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; HR, hormone receptor; IDFS, invasive disease-free survival; OFS, ovarian function suppression; OS, overall survival; PK, pharmacokinetics; PROs, patient-reported outcomes; STEEP, Standardized Definitions for Efficacy End Points system.

5 1. Johnston S, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2020;38(34):3987–3998. 2. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT03155997.

monarchE: 2 years of adjuvant abemaciclib + ET demonstrated sustained IDFS benefit in ITT¹



^aPer the STEEP criteria; measured from the date of randomization to the date of first occurrence of ipsilateral invasive breast tumor recurrence, local/regional invasive breast cancer recurrence, distant recurrence, death attributable to any cause, contralateral invasive breast cancer, or second primary non-breast invasive cancer.

CI; confidence interval; ET, endocrine therapy; HR, hazard ratio; IA3, third interim analysis; IDFS, invasive disease-free survival; ITT, intention-to-treat; OS, overall survival; STEEP, Standardized Definitions for Efficacy End Points system.

16 1. Rastogi P, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2024;42(9):987-993

monarchE: consistent IDFS benefit observed in selected subgroups with no benefit for stage II patient population¹

	Abema	ciclib + ET	ET alone		Favors abemaciclib + ET	Favors ET alone		
	No.	Events	No.	Events			HR (95% CI)	Interaction p-value
Overall	2,808	407	2,829	585			0.68 (0.60-0.77)	•
Pooled age group 1							· · · · ·	0.229
<65 years	2,371	325	2,416	485			0.66 (0.57–0.76)	
≥65 years	437	82	413	100			0.80 (0.60–1.07)	
IWRS menopausal status							· · · · ·	0.095
Premenopausal	1,221	150	1,232	237			0.60 (0.49–0.73)	
Postmenopausal	1,587	257	1,597	348		8 8 8	0.75 (0.64–0.88)	
IWRS prior treatment			·				· · · · · ·	0.596
Neoadjuvant chemotherapy	1,039	202	1,048	297			0.65 (0.54–0.78)	
Adjuvant chemotherapy	1,642	183	1,647	260			0.69 (0.57–0.84)	
Baseline ECOG PS	,		,					0.097
0	2,405	337	2,369	489		1 1 1	0.65 (0.57–0.75)	
1	401	70	455	95			0.87 (0.64–1.18)	
Primary tumor size							, ,	0.053
<20 mm	781	82	767	150			0.52 (0.40-0.68)	
≥20 mm but <50 mm	1,371	214	1,419	284			0.77 (0.65–0.92)	
≥50 mm	607	102	610	144			0.68 (0.53–0.87)	
Number of positive lymph nodes						1		0.438
1–3	1,118	136	1,142	182		1 1 1	0.75 (0.60–0.94)	
4–9	1,107	142	1,126	231			0.61 (0.50–0.76)	
10 or more	575	127	554	172		1 1 1	0.66 (0.53–0.83)	
Tumor grade								0.769
G1 – favorable	209	24	216	35			0.70 (0.42–1.17)	
G2 – mod favorable	1,377	181	1,395	268		1	0.67 (0.55–0.80)	
G3 – unfavorable	1,086	185	1,064	240			0.74 (0.61-0.89)	
Tumor stage								0.382
Stage II	716	79	740	106	_		0.76 (0.57–1.02)	
Stage III	2,078	326	2,077	476	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 • 1	0.66 (0.57–0.76)	
First ET								0.054
Tamoxifen	857	111	898	196			0.56 (0.45–0.71)	
Aromatase inhibitor	1,931	293	1,887	386			0.74 (0.63–0.86)	
							· /	
					0.5 1.	.0 2.0		

CI, confidence interval; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; ET, endocrine therapy; HR, hazard ratio; IDFS, invasive disease-free survival; IWRS, interactive web response system. 17 1. Rastogi P, et al. *J Clin Oncol.* 2024;42(9):987-993

monarchE: safety was consistent with the known profile of abemaciclib¹

	Abemaciclib + ET (n=2,791)			ET alone (n=2,800)		
≥15% any grade in either arm	Any grade	Grade 3	Grade 4	Any grade	Grade 3	Grade 4
Any adverse event	2,731 (97.9)	1,200 (43.0)	70 (2.5)	2,410 (86.1)	335 (12.0)	19 (0.7)
Diarrhea	2,294 (82.2)	212 (7.6)	0	199 (7.1)	3 (0.1)	0
Neutropenia	1,246 (44.6)	501 (18.0)	18 (0.6)	141 (5.0)	16 (0.6)	3 (0.1)
Fatigue	1,073 (38.4)	78 (2.8)	0	433 (15.5)	4 (0.1)	0
Leukopenia	1,027 (36.8)	301 (10.8)	4 (0.1)	171 (6.1)	10 (0.4)	0
Abdominal pain	948 (34.0)	37 (1.3)	0	227 (8.1)	9 (0.3)	0
Nausea	779 (27.9)	13 (0.5)	0	223 (8.0)	1 (0.0)	0
Anemia	638 (22.9)	47 (1.7)	1 (0.0)	90 (3.2)	9 (0.3)	1 (0.0)
Arthralgia	571 (20.5)	6 (0.2)	0	876 (31.3)	18 (0.6)	0
Vomiting	455 (16.3)	13 (0.5)	0	117 (4.2)	2 (0.1)	0
Headache	482 (17.3)	6 (0.2)	0	359 (12.8)	3 (0.1)	0

ET, endocrine therapy.

18 1. Johnston et al. *J Clin Oncol*. 2020;38(34):3987–3998.

The NATALEE trial assessed the addition of ribociclib to NSAI for 3 years in patients with stage II or III HR+/HER2- eBC¹⁻⁴



KEY INCLUSION CRITERIA

- Women and men aged ≥18 years with unilateral primary invasive ER+/HER2- BC tumor and complete surgical resection with microscopic margins free of tumors with available archival tumor tissue
- Anatomical stage group II: (N1/ or N0 with G3 or G2 and/or Ki-67 ≥20%) or stage III disease
- Completion of (neo)adjuvant ChT and adjuvant radiotherapy (if indicated)
- Permitted to have received any SOC (neo)adjuvant ET but must be randomized <12 months of initial start date of ET
- ECOG PS of ≤1

KEY EXCLUSION CRITERIA

- Prior treatment with CDK4/6i
- Prior treatment with tamoxifen, raloxifene, or Als for reduction in risk of BC and/or prior treatment for osteoporosis in the preceding 2 years
- Prior treatment with anthracyclines and cumulative doses of ≥450 mg/m² for doxorubicin or of ≥900 mg/m² for epirubicin
- Distant metastases of BC beyond regional lymph nodes and/or evidence of disease after curative surgery
- Clinically significant, uncontrolled heart disease and/or cardiac repolarization abnormalities
- Major surgery, ChT, or radiotherapy within 14 days prior to randomization

^aThree weeks on, one week off. ^bAdministered according to package label until discontinuation criteria were met. ^cAdditional treatment with the NSAI beyond 60 months was at the discretion of the treating physician and was not considered to be part of the trial treatment.

Als, aromatase inhibitors; BC, breast cancer; CDK4/6i, cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor; ChT, chemotherapy; DDFS, distant disease-free survival; eBC, early breast cancer; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; ER, estrogen receptor; ET, endocrine therapy; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; HR, hormone receptor; IDFS, invasive disease-free survival; NSAI, non-steroidal aromatase inhibitors; OFS, ovarian function suppression; OS, overall survival; PK, pharmacokinetics; PRO, patient reported outcomes; QD, once daily; RFS, recurrence-free survival; SOC, standard of care.

19 1. Slamon D, et al. N Engl J Med. 2024;390(12):1080–1091. 2. Clinical Trials.gov. NCT03701334. 3. Fasching PA, et al. ESMO Congress 2024. Abstract LBA13; 4. Slamon DJ, et al. Ther Adv Med Oncol. 2023;15:17588359231178125

NATALEE includes node-negative patients and a broader population of patients with stage II and III disease compared with monarchE^{1,a}

AJCC anatomical staging	TN (M0)	NATALEE	monarchE
Stage IIA	T0 N1	Y	Grade 3 or Ki-67 ≥ 20%
	T1 N1	Y	Grade 3 or Ki-67 ≥ 20%
	T2 N0	G3 or G2 with Ki-67 ≥20% or high genomic risk ^b	Ν
Stage IIB	T2 N1	Y	Grade 3 or Ki-67 ≥ 20%
	T3 N0	Y	Ν
Stage IIIA	T0 N2	Y	Y
	T1 N2	Y	Y
	T2 N2	Y	Y
	T3 N1	Y	Y
	T3 N2	Y	Y
Stage IIIB	T4 N0	Y	Ν
	T4 N1	Y	Tumor size ≥5 cm or grade 3 or Ki-67 ≥20%
	T4 N2	Y	Y
Stage IIIC	Any TN3	Y	Y

^aChoice of therapy will depend on approval, access, risk, long-term efficacy, safety profile, and patient preference. ^bHigh risk as determined by Oncotype DX, Prosigna PAM50, MammaPrint, or EndoPredict EPclin Risk Score. AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; G, grade; M, metastasis; N, node status; T, tumor size.

20 1. Slamon DJ, et al. Ther Adv Med Oncol. 2023;15:17588359231178125.

NATALEE: ribociclib + NSAI demonstrated sustained IDFS benefit in ITT



^aPer the STEEP criteria; measured from the date of randomization to the date of first occurrence of ipsilateral invasive breast tumor recurrence, local/regional invasive breast cancer recurrence, distant recurrence, death attributable to any cause, contralateral invasive breast cancer, or second primary non-breast invasive cancer.

21

CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; IDFS, invasive disease-free survival; ITT, intention-to-treat; NSAI, non-steroidal aromatase inhibitors; RIB, ribociclib; STEEP, Standardized Definitions for Efficacy End Points system. 1. Hortobagyi, GN, et al. Ann Oncol. 2025;36(2):149–157. 2. Fasching PA, et al. ESMO Congress 2024. Abstract LBA13.

NATALEE: consistent IDFS benefit observed in selected subgroups with no benefit in stage II and N0 patient population¹

	RIE	B + NSAI ⊫2,549	N	SAI alone n=2,552	Favors RIB + NSAI	Favors NSAI alone
Subgroup	Events/n	3-y IDFS rate, %	Events/n	3-y IDFS rate, %	←	HR (95% CI)
All patients	189/2,549	90.4	237/2,552	87.1		0.75 (0.62–0.91)
Menopausal status						
Men and premenopausal women	71/1,126	90.7	93/1,132	88.6	⊢_∳i	0.72 (0.53–0.98)
Postmenopausal women	118/1,423	90.0	144/1,420	86.0	⊢∳⊣	0.78 (0.61–1.00)
AJCC stage						
Stage II	49/1,011	94.2	65/1,034	91.2	⊢	– 0.76 (0.53–1.10)
Stage III	140/1,528	87.3	172/1,512	84.1	⊢ ∳⊣	0.74 (0.59–0.93)
Prior ChT						
Neoadjuvant	111/1,085	87.1	132/1,095	83.2	⊢♦	0.79 (0.61–1.01)
Adjuvant	63/1,223	92.9	89/1,220	90.3	⊢_ ↓	0.67 (0.49–0.93)
No	22/300	90.8	22/307	89.4	L L	1.04 (0.58–1.87)
Prior ET						· · · ·
Yes	127/1,824	90.9	157/1,801	88.1	⊨∳i	0.76 (0.60–0.95)
No	62/725	89.1	80/751	85.2	⊢	H 0.77 (0.56–1.08)
Region						
North America/Western Europe/Oceania	111/1,563	90.6	139/1,565	86.7	,i	0.76 (0.59–0.97)
Rest of the world	78/986	89.9	98/987	87.5	⊢∳i	0.76 (0.56–1.02)
Histological grade at time of surgery						
Grade 1	9/213	94.2	12/217	92.7	► −	0.78 (0.33–1.85)
Grade 2	102/1,460	90.6	125/1,432	87.7	j∳i	0.75 (0.58–0.97)
Grade 3	61/684	88.6	78/702	84.5	⊢	– 0.78 (0.55–1.08)
Ki-67 status ^a						
Ki-67 ≤20%	76/1,199	91.7	95/1,236	90.0	⊢ ∳	H 0.80 (0.59–1.08)
Ki-67 >20%	82/920	89.3	105/938	84.2	i	0.75 (0.56–1.00)
Nodal status ^{b,c}						
NO	16/285	93.7	28/328	88.6	⊢	– 0.63 (0.34–1.16)
N1–N3	173/2,261	89.8	208/2,219	87.0	⊢ ∳⊣	0.77 (0.63–0.94)
					0.25 0.5 1	2 4
					Hazaro	d ratio

^aFrom archival tumor tissue. ^bNodal status classification according to AJCC staging. ^cNodal status is from the worst stage derived per surgical specimen or at diagnosis.

AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; ChT, chemotherapy; CI, confidence interval; ET, endocrine therapy; HR, hazard ratio; IDFS, invasive disease-free survival; N, node; NSAI, non-steroidal aromatase inhibitor; RIB, ribociclib.

22 1. Slamon D, et al. N Engl J Med. 2024;390(12):1080–1091 (including supplementary data).

NATALEE: the 3-year regimen of ribociclib at a 400 mg starting dose plus an NSAI was not associated with new safety signals¹

	Ribociclib + NSAI (N=2,524)				NSAI alone (N=2,444)			
Event	All grades	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	All grades	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5
Any adverse event, n (%)	2,470 (97.9)	1,437 (56.9)	130 (5.2)	12 (0.5)	2,128 (87.1)	394 (16.1)	38 (1.6)	4 (0.2)
Adverse events that occurred in ≥15% of	of patients in e	ither group, n (%)					
Neutropenia ^a	1,568 (62.1)	1,054 (41.8)	52 (2.1)	0	110 (4.5)	17 (0.7)	3 (0.1)	0
Arthralgia	921 (36.5)	24 (1.0)	0	0	1,038 (42.5)	31 (1.3)	0	0
Nausea	580 (23.0)	6 (0.2)	0	0	184 (7.5)	1 (<0.1)	0	0
Headache	556 (22.0)	10 (0.4)	0	0	403 (16.5)	4 (0.2)	0	0
Fatigue	554 (21.9)	18 (0.7)	0	0	311 (12.7)	4 (0.2)	0	0
SARS-CoV-2 test positive	487 (19.3)	0	0	0	310 (12.7)	0	0	0
Covid-19	477 (18.9)	18 (0.7)	0	3 (0.1)	314 (12.8)	11 (0.5)	0	1 (<0.1)
Alanine aminotransferase increased	478 (18.9)	154 (6.1)	31 (1.2)	0	128 (5.2)	15 (0.6)	1 (<0.1)	0
Hot flush	473 (18.7)	6 (0.2)	0	0	482 (19.7)	3 (0.1)	0	0
Asthenia	417 (16.5)	15 (0.6)	0	0	273 (11.2)	3 (0.1)	0	0
Aspartate aminotransferase increased	408 (16.2)	96 (3.8)	16 (0.6)	0	131 (5.4)	12 (0.5)	0	0

^aNeutropenia is a grouped term that combines the preferred terms neutropenia and neutrophil count decreased.

Covid-19, coronavirus disease 2019; NSAI, non-steroidal aromatase inhibitor; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

23 1. Slamon D, et al. N Eng J Med. 2024;390(12):1080–1091.

A new strategic approach for adjuvant therapy in ER+/HER2- eBC

- Oral SERDs have shown evidence of superior efficacy over SOC in metastatic settings^{1,2}
- Their potential is now being explored in early-stage disease to enhance treatment outcomes in adjuvant settings
- Current investigations focus on two strategies: upfront^{3,4} or a switch approach^{5–7}

Years	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
-------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

Current SOC ET for high-risk HR+/HER2- eBC $ET + CDK4/6i^a$ ET^b Upfront strategy $\pm CDK4/6i$ Oral SERD° Switch strategy $ET \pm CDK4/6i^d$ Oral SERD°

The diagram is provided for illustrative purposes only. Therapy time frames may vary based on individual treatment plans.

^aPatients may receive 2 or 3 years of CDK4/6i depending on whether they are treated with abemaciclib or ribociclib, respectively. ^bPatients may receive up to 10 years adjuvant treatment, although 7–8 years are usually sufficient per current ESMO guidelines. ^cPatients may receive 5 or 7 years of oral SERD therapy depending on whether they are treated with giredestrant or camizestrant, respectively. ^dPatients may receive 2–5 years of adjuvant ET (± CDK4/6i). CDK4/6i, cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor; eBC, early breast cancer; ER, estrogen receptor; ET, endocrine therapy; HER2, human epidermal growth factor 2; HR, hormone receptor; SERD, selective estrogen receptor degrader; SOC, standard of care.

1. Bidard FC, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2022;40:3246–3256. 2. Jhaveri KL et al. N Engl J Med. Published online December 11, 2024. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2410858. 3. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT04961996. 4. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT05952557.

5. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT05514054. 6. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT06492616. 7. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT05774951.

The lidERA trial is evaluating upfront giredestrant as single-agent adjuvant therapy in intermediate/high-risk ER+/HER2- eBC¹⁻³



Al, aromatase inhibitor; BC, breast cancer; CDK4/6, cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6; ChT, chemotherapy; DCIS, ductal carcinoma *in situ*; DFS, disease-free survival; DRFI, distant recurrence-free interval; eBC, early breast cancer; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; ER, estrogen receptor; ET, endocrine therapy; G, grade; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; IDFS, invasive disease-free survival; LFRRI, locoregional-free recurrence rate at interval; N, node status; OFS, ovarian function suppression; OS, overall survival; PK, pharmacokinetics; PRO, patient-reported outcomes; QD, once daily; SERD, selective estrogen receptor degrader; SERM, selective estrogen receptor modulator; SOC, standard of care; STEEP, Standardized Definitions for Efficacy End Points system; T, tumor size.

The CAMBRIA-2 trial is evaluating upfront camizestrant (± abemaciclib) as adjuvant therapy in intermediate/high risk ER+/HER2- eBC¹⁻³



^aThe clinical trial study design presented aligns with clinical study protocol global amendment 2 v3 (July 20, 2023). ^bEndocrine therapy (investigator's choice of tamoxifen, anastrozole, letrozole, or exemestane) is administered per local label once daily. ^cStandard dose per approved local guidelines or per institutional SOC. ^dAdministered orally.

BC, breast cancer; CDK4/6i, cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor; ChT, chemotherapy; COA, clinical outcome assessment; DRFS, distant relapse-free survival; eBC, early breast cancer; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; ER, estrogen receptor; ET, endocrine therapy; G, grade; HER2, human epidermal growth factor 2; IBCFS, invasive breast-cancer-free survival; IDFS, invasive disease-free survival; (I)LN, (ipsilateral) ymph node; mic, microinvasion; N, node; OFS, ovarian function suppression; OS, overall survival; PK, pharmacokinetics; QD, once daily; SERD, selective estrogen receptor degrader; SOC, standard of care; T, tumor.

1. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT05952557. 2. ABCSG. CAMBRIA-2 study details. Available at:https://www.abcsg.org/en/abcsg-studien/studies-open-for-enrollment/abcsg-62-cambria-2-2/abcsg-62-cambria-2-studiendetails (accessed on March 05, 2025). 3. Ascione L, et al. Curr Opin Oncol. 2024;36(6):465–473.

The CAMBRIA-1 trial is evaluating camizestrant as single-agent therapy in intermediate/high risk ER+/HER2- eBC following 2–5yrs of adjuvant ET¹⁻³



^aThe clinical trial study design presented aligns with clinical study protocol global amendment 2 v3 (July 20, 2023). ^bEndocrine therapy (investigator's choice of tamoxifen, anastrozole, letrozole, or exemestane) is administered per local label once daily. ^c Administered orally.

ALN, axillary lymph node; BC, breast cancer; CDK4/6i, cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor; DCIS, ductal carcinoma *in situ*; DRFS, distant relapse-free survival; eBC, early breast cancer; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; ER, estrogen receptor; ET, endocrine therapy; G, grade; HER2, human epidermal growth factor 2; IBCFS, invasive breast-cancer-free survival; IDFS, invasive disease-free survival; LN, lymph node; mic, microinvasion; mo, months; , node status; OFS, overall survival; PK, pharmacokinetics; PROs, patient-reported outcomes; QD, once daily; SOC, standard of care; T, tumor size.

27 1. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT05N774951. 2. Ascione L, et al. Curr Opin Oncol. 2024;36(6):465–473. 3. Hamilton EP, et al. ESMO 2023. Abstract 354TiP.

The EMBER-4 trial is evaluating imlunestrant as single-agent therapy in patients with high-risk ER+/HER2- eBC following 2–5yrs of adjuvant ET^{1,2}



AI, aromatase inhibitor; ALN, axillary lymph nodes; BC, breast cancer; CDK4/6i, cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor; ChT, chemotherapy; DCIS, ductal carcinoma *in situ*; DRFS, distant recurrence-free survival; eBC, early breast cancer; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; ER, estrogen receptor; ET, endocrine therapy; G, grade; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; IDFS, invasive disease-free survival; mo, months; N, node status; OS, overall survival; PARPi, poly-adenosine diphosphate-ribose polymerase inhibitor; PK, pharmacokinetics; PROs, patient-reported outcomes; QD, once daily; SOC, standard of care; T, tumor size.

28 1. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT05514054. 2. Ascione L, et al. Curr Opin Oncol. 2024;36(6):465–473.

Elacestrant shows both degradative and partial agonist properties

- Elacestrant exhibits selective degradative activity in breast and uterine tissues and agonist activity in bone¹
 - SERD activity: elacestrant greatly reduced ER availability, showing reduction in tumors regardless
 of ESR1 mutation status²
 - agonistic properties: at lower doses, elacestrant can function as a SERM and has estrogen-like effects, which can both reduce hot flashes and protect against bone loss^{1,3}



Adapted from: McDonnell DP, et al., 2021

ER, estrogen receptor; SERD, selective estrogen degrader; SERM, selective estrogen receptor modulator.

29 1. Garner F, et al. Anticancer Drugs. 2015;26(9):948–956. 2. Bardia A, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2021;39(12):1360–1370. 3. McDonnell DP, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2021;39(12):1383–1388.

Elacestrant demonstrated activity in ESR1 wild-type tumors

Elacestrant provides a numerical benefit^a in PFS at 6–12 months¹

Elacestrant showed growth inhibition in cells^b resistant to CDK4/6i²

	Elacestrant (N=124)	SOC (N=125)				
Event	82	78				
Hazard ratio	0.86					
(95% CI)	(0.63–1.19)					
p-value	0.3	31				
6-month PFS, %	28.58	21.85				
(95% CI)	(18.98–38.18)	(12.71–30.99)				
12-month PFS, %	18.16	11.22				
(95% CI)	(8.60–27.73)	(2.82–19.62)				



Asterisks represent significant differences in the indicated treatment groups relative to vehicle control at end of treatment

^aThe EMERALD study was not powered to demonstrate statistical significance for *ESR1*-wt. ^bPDX models ST986 and HBCX-21 were selected based on relatively high expression levels of wt-type ER and PR. ^cMean tumor volumes ± SEM of indicated PDX models treated with elacestrant or fulvestrant at the indicated dose.

CDK4/6i cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor; CI, confidence interval; ER, estrogen receptor; *ESR1*, estrogen receptor 1; mut, mutation; PDX, patient-derived xenograft; PFS, progression-free survival; *PIK3CA*, phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit alpha; PgR, progesterone receptor; SEM, standard error of the mean; SOC, standard of care; wt, wild type.

30 1. Bidard et al. J Clin Oncol. 2022;40(28):3246–3256 (supplementary data). 2. Kaklamani V, et al. ASCO 2023. Poster 291.

ELIPSE: elacestrant demonstrated relevant biological and molecular responses in eBC, supporting further investigation¹

Treatment with elacestrant results in a 27% CCCA^a rate, and substantial Ki-67 suppression

Tumor biology evolved to a more endocrinesensitive and less proliferative phenotype

Luminal A

Luminal B

CES

HER2-enriched

Baseline characteristics	opulation	Basal-like	
n	2		
<i>Histologic type (%)</i> Ductal Lobular Other	17 (7 4 (1 2 (8	-0.2 - B -0.6 -	
<i>Centralized baseline Ki-67</i> Median Range	1 5–	• Proliferation score	
Results	Overall population	Luminal A (n=12)	Baseline Day 28
n	22	11	

Results	Overall population	Luminal A (n=12)
n	22	11
CCCA at day 28, n (%)	6 (27.3) (95% CI 10.7–50.2)	5 (41.7)
Ki-67 ≤10% at day 28, n (%)	14 (63.7)	11 (100.0)
Ki-67 geometric mean change, % (95% CI)	-52.9 (95% CI -67.4 to -32.1)	-64.6 (95% CI -79.4 to -39.3)



^aComplete cell cycle arrest (Ki-67 ≤2.7%).

CCCA, complete cell cycle arrest; CES, chemo-endocrine score; CI, confidence interval; eBC, early breast cancer; ESR1, estrogen receptor 1; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; MK167, marker of proliferation Ki-67; PGR, progesterone receptor gene; ROR-P, PAM50-derived risk of recurrence plus a proliferation index

1. Vidal M, et al. Clin Cancer Res. Published online January 16, 2025. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-24-2460 (including supplementary data). 31

ELEGANT trial evaluates elacestrant as single-agent therapy in 'pure high-risk' ER+/HER2- eBC patients following 2-5yrs of adjuvant ET^{1,2}



Al, aromatase inhibitor; ALN, axillary lymph node; BC, breast cancer; CDK4/6i, cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor; DCIS, ductal carcinoma *in situ*; DRFS, distant recurrence-free survival; eBC, early breast cancer; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; ER, endocrine receptor; ET, endocrine therapy; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; IBCFS, invasive breast cancer-free survival; LHRH, luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone; mo, months; OFS, ovarian function suppression; OS, overall survival; PROs, patient-reported outcomes; QD, once daily; QoL, quality of life; SOC, standard of care.

32 1. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT06492616. 2. ELEGANT. STML-ELA-0422. 2024.

Summary of ongoing studies investigating oral SERDs as adjuvant therapy for HR+/HER2- eBC

Patient population		ELEGANT ¹	EMBER-4 ^{2.3}	CAMBRIA-1 ^{3,4}	lidERA ^{3,5,6}	CAMBRIA-2 ^{,3,7}	
N		4,220	8,000	4,300	4,200	5,500	
Intervention			Elacestrant	Imlunestrant	Camizestrant	Giredestrant	Camizestrant (± abema 2 y)
Trial design			TREATMENT S	WITCH AFTER 2-5 YEARS OF	ADJUVANT ET	UPFRONT ADJUV	ANT TREATMENT
Risk of recurrence	•		+++	++	+	+	+
Patient population			Pure high-risk	High-risk	Intermediate/high-risk	Intermediate/high-risk	Intermediate/high-risk
Prior CDK4/6i expo	osure al	lowed	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO
ECOG PS				0–1		0–2	0–1
		T0 N1	If grade 3	If grade 3	NO	YES	NO
	IIA	T1 N1	If grade 3	If grade 3	If ≥2 LN+, or grade 3, or genomic high-risk, or Ki-67 ≥20% (incl N0 mic)	YES	If ≥2 ILN+, or grade 3, or genomic high-risk, or Ki-67 ≥20% (including N0 mic)
		T2 N0	NO	If tumor size 5 cm or grade 3	lf grade 3 or genomic high-risk or Ki-67 ≥20%	lf grade 3 or genomic high-risk ^c or Ki-67 ≥20%	lf grade 3, or genomic high-risk, or Ki-67 ≥20%
		T2 N1	If tumor size 5 cm or grade 3	If tumor size 5 cm or grade 2/3	YES ^a	YES	YES ^a
Disease stage	IIB	T2 N0	NO	If tumor size 5 cm or grade 3	If grade 3, or genomic high-risk, or Ki-67 ≥20%	If grade 3 or genomic high-risk ^c or Ki-67 ≥20%	lf grade 3, or genomic high-risk, or Ki-67 ≥20%
	IIIA		YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
		T4 N0	NO	If tumor size ≥5 cm, or grade 3 and 2–5 cm	YES ^b	YES	YES
	IIIB	T4 N1	lf tumor size ≥5 cm or grade 3	If tumor size ≥5 cm, or grade 3 or grade 2 and 2–5 cm	YES ^b	YES	YES
		T4 N2	YES	YES	YES ^b	YES	YES
	IIIC		YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

Comparisons of efficacy and safety should not be drawn or inferred in the absence of head-to-head studies

^aFor 1 LN+ only if grade 3, or genomic high risk, or Ki-67 >20%.^bT4d excluded. Abema, abemaciclib. ^cOncotype DX or MammaPrint high. CDK4/6i cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor; eBC, early breast cancer; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; ET, endocrine therapy; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; HR, hormone receptor; ILN, ipsilateral lymph node; incl, including; LN, lymph node; mic, microinvasion; N, node status; SERD, selective estrogen receptor degrader; T, tumor size; y, year.

33 1. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT064926196. 2. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT05952557.
 34. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT05774951. 5. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT05952557.

Monitoring of minimal residual disease through ctDNA is likely to prove beneficial for anticipating recurrence¹



Time

In monarchE, patients with persistent ctDNA positivity exhibited a higher risk of IDFS events compared to those who achieved ctDNA clearance¹

> Patients who remained or became ctDNA+ on treatment were more likely to experience recurrence compared to those who became or remained undetected



	Longitudinal analysis (N=889)ª			
	Baseline (−), undetected N=831		Baseline (+), detected N=58	
	Persistently -	Became +	Persistently +	Became – (undetected)
Ν	749 (90)	82 (10)	34 (60)	24 (40)
IDFS event, n (%)	107 (14)	76 (93)	34 (100)	10 (42)
4-year IDFS rate, % (95% CI)	87.5 (85.1-89.9)	11.0 (5.9-20.3)	n/a	58.3 (41.6-81.8)

^aThe ctDNA subset was enriched by patients with IDFS events within 24 months; therefore, the estimated IDFS rates in each subgroup are not reflective of that in the overall population. Robust assessment was limited in 194 patients with <3 post-baseline timepoints and there may be differences in IDFS; total events 227.

CI, confidence interval; ctDNA, circulating tumor deoxyribonucleic acid; IDFS, invasive free survival; n/a, not available

1.Loi et al. ASCO 2024. Abstract LBA507 35

TREAT ctDNA study evaluated elacestrant efficacy in ER+/HER2- eBC patients with ctDNA relapse^{1,2}



STRATIFICATION FACTORS

Duration of ET at time of ctDNA detection (≤6.5 vs >6.5 years)

- Stage IIB vs III
- Prior CDK4/6i treatment (yes/no)
- Region

KEY INCLUSION CRITERIA

- Women or men age ≥18 years
- Confirmed ER+^c, HER2- eBC without evidence of distant metastasis
- Stage IIb or III and completed adjuvant ChT
- Completed ≥4 cycles of neoadjuvant ChT and ≥ypT1C or ypN+
- Received 2-7 years of ET
- Prior CDK4/6i or PARPi allowed if completed ≥12 mo prior to enrolment
- ctDNA+ per the Signatera assay (Randomization phase)

KEY EXCLUSION CRITERIA

- Suspected recurrent disease
- Prior treatment with any SERD or investigational ER antagonist
- Previous history of invasive BC or bilateral BC
- Child-Pugh score greater than Class A
- Uncontrolled significant active infections^d
- Any history of coagulopathy in the past 6 mo

^aElacestrant 345 mg is equivalent to 400 mg elacestrant hydrochloride. ^bAnastrozole, letrozole, exemestane, or tamoxifen per local label once daily. ^c≥10% staining. ^dGrade 3 per CTCAE v5.0. BC, breast cancer; CDK4/6i, cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor; ChT, chemotherapy; CT, computed tomography; ctDNA, circulating tumor deoxyribonucleic acid; DMFS, distant metastasis-free survival; eBC, early breast cancer; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; ER, estrogen receptor; ET, endocrine therapy; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; IDFS, invasive disease-free survival; mo, months; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; OS, overall survival; PARPi, poly-ADP ribose polymerase inhibitor; PRO, patient-reported outcome; RFS, relapse-free survival.

1.Clinicaltrials.gov. NCT05512364. 2.TREAT ctDNA. EORTC-2129-BCG. 2023.

Key takeaways



Risk assessment enables personalized treatment approaches, optimizing therapeutic outcomes^{1,2}



High-risk patients exhibit significantly lower invasive disease-free survival than do intermediate/low-risk groups, underscoring the need for enhanced treatment strategies³

Adjuvant ET intensification with CDK4/6 inhibitors has shown clinical benefit; however, emerging resistance remains a challenge, contributing to disease recurrence^{4,5}



Oral SERDs have shown evidence of superior efficacy over SOC in metastatic settings, particularly in the presence of ESR1-mut and are now being explored in early-stage disease as either an upfront or switch treatment strategy^{6–8}

Elacestrant is the only oral SERD with agonistic properties being investigated as a switch strategy in a "pure high-risk population" following demonstration of CCCA rate reduction, substantial Ki-67 suppression, and activity in *ESR1* wild-type breast cancer^{6,9–11}

CCCA, complete cell cycle arrest; CDK4/6, cyclin dependent kinase 4/6; *ESR1*, estrogen receptor 1; ET, endocrine therapy; SERD, selective estrogen receptor degrader; SOC, standard of care. 1. Amin MB, et al. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2017;67(2):93–99. 2. Garutti M, et al. *Cancers (Basel).* 2022;14(8):1898. 3. Sheffield MK, et al. *Future Oncol.* 2022;18(21):2667–2682. 4. Johnston S, et al. *J Clin Oncol.* 2020;38(34):3987–3998. 5. Hortobagyi GN, et al. *Ann Oncol.* 2025;36(2):149–157. 6. Bidard FC, et al. *J Clin Oncol.* 2022;40:3246–3256. 7. Jhaveri KL, et al. *N Engl J Med.* Published online December 11, 2024. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2410858. 8. Ascione L, et al. *Curr Opin Oncol.* 2024;36(6):465–473. 9. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT06492616. 10. Vidal M, et al. *Clin Cancer Res.* Published online January 16, 2025. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-24-2460. 11. Kaklamani VG, et al. ASCO 2023. Poster 291.

Discussion and Q&A

Prof. Michael Gnant Medical University of Vienna, Austria

Key takeaways



Risk assessment enables personalized treatment approaches, optimizing therapeutic outcomes^{1,2}



High-risk patients exhibit significantly lower invasive disease-free survival than do intermediate/low-risk groups, underscoring the need for enhanced treatment strategies³

Adjuvant ET intensification with CDK4/6 inhibitors has shown clinical benefit; however, emerging resistance remains a challenge, contributing to disease recurrence^{4,5}



Oral SERDs have shown evidence of superior efficacy over SOC in metastatic settings, particularly in the presence of ESR1-mut and are now being explored in early-stage disease as either an upfront or switch treatment strategy^{6–8}

Elacestrant is the only oral SERD with agonistic properties being investigated as a switch strategy in a "pure high-risk population" following demonstration of CCCA rate reduction, substantial Ki-67 suppression, and activity in *ESR1* wild-type breast cancer^{6,9–11}

CCCA, complete cell cycle arrest; CDK4/6, cyclin dependent kinase 4/6; *ESR1*, estrogen receptor 1; ET, endocrine therapy; SERD, selective estrogen receptor degrader; SOC, standard of care. 1. Amin MB, et al. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2017;67(2):93–99. 2. Garutti M, et al. *Cancers (Basel).* 2022;14(8):1898. 3. Sheffield MK, et al. *Future Oncol.* 2022;18(21):2667–2682. 4. Johnston S, et al. *J Clin Oncol.* 2020;38(34):3987–3998. 5. Hortobagyi GN, et al. *Ann Oncol.* 2025;36(2):149–157. 6. Bidard FC, et al. *J Clin Oncol.* 2022;40:3246–3256. 7. Jhaveri KL, et al. *N Engl J Med.* Published online December 11, 2024. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2410858. 8. Ascione L, et al. *Curr Opin Oncol.* 2024;36(6):465–473. 9. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT06492616. 10. Vidal M, et al. *Clin Cancer Res.* Published online January 16, 2025. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-24-2460. 11. Kaklamani VG, et al. ASCO 2023. Poster 291

Thank you!

The symposium recording is now available on demand on the SGBCC platform – don't miss out